
WallyPacholka / AstroPics.com

Astrometry goes back over 5000 years!



©2011 C.S. Jacobs, used by permission

Island of Malta
Ggantija ~3500 B.C.
Mnajdra ~3200 B.C.

Credit: Heritage Malta

Mnajdra solar alignments



Credit: Heritage Malta



Celestial Reference Frames



Christopher S. Jacobs

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

5 March 2013

Outline

I. **Concepts and Background:**

A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties

B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame

ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.

C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.

1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arsecond accuracy.

II. **Celestial Frames built using VLBI**

A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS

B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)

C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

III. **The Path to the Future:**

A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement

B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame

C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame

D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy, billion sources

I. A. Concepts for Celestial Frames

1. **Questions:**
   Why do we need reference frames? Celestial Frames?
   Time, positions, velocities

2. **The Celestial Frames**

Terrestrial:	Azimuth,		Elevation
Equatorial plane:	Right Ascension	&	Declination
Ecliptic Plane:	Ecliptic Longitude	&	Latitude
Galactic Plane:	Galactic Longitude	&	Latitude

3. **Inertial Frames**
   No rotation
   No acceleration
   Quasi-inertial

I. A.1 Why a Celestial Frame?

Questions:
Why do we need reference frames? Celestial Frames?

To measure Time, positions, and velocities

Time: The rotation of the earth

Positions & velocities:
Angular positions and distances of
Quasars, galaxies, stars, planets, spacecraft

I. A.2 The Celestial Sphere

Preferred Frame changes with scale and application

- Local terrestrial: Elevation, Azimuth
  Local gravity or normal to horizon gives preferred direction
  Useful for antenna pointing

- Equatorial plane: Right Ascension & Declination
  Earth's spin gives preferred direction

- Ecliptic Plane: Ecliptic longitude & latitude
  plane of solar system, planetary orbits
  useful for studying the solar system and
  inter-planetary navigation

- Galactic Plane: Galactic Longitude & latitude
  plane of Milky Way galaxy
  Useful for pulsars, masers, rotation curves…

- Even larger structure: local group of galaxies, Virgo cluster, …

I. A.2 Local Horizon: Azimuth, Elevation

- Local terrestrial: Elevation, Azimuth
  Local gravity or normal to horizon gives preferred direction
  Useful for antenna pointing



I. A.2 The Celestial Sphere

Equatorial System:
Earth's spin axis gives
preferred direction,
the celestial pole

Coordinates on the sky:
Right Ascension ("longitude")
Declination ("latitude")

Ecliptic Plane:
Ecliptic Longitude &
Ecliptic Latitude
plane of solar system
useful for studying the
solar system and
inter-planetary navigation



Credit: http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/C/celsphere.html

I. A.2 The Celestial Sphere

- Galactic Plane: Galactic Longitude, l, & Galactic latitude, b
  Useful for pulsars,
  masers, rotation curves…



http://astronomy.swin.edu.au/cosmos/N/North+Galactic+Pole



Credit: Robert Hurt & NASA
http://tracingknowledge.wordpress.com/tag/milky-way/



Galactic center: VLA radio image
Kassim, NRAO. http://images.nrao.edu/326

C.S. Jacobs 5 Mar 2013

I. A.2 The Celestial Sphere

- How far before we get to the quasars? Even larger structures: local group of galaxies, Virgo cluster, Virgo super cluster…



~3 Million light years



~100 Million light years

Credit: Andrew Z. Colvin
http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File%3AEarth's_Location_in_the_Universe_(JPEG).jpg

Quasars ~ Gigaparsec; Virgo cluster distance (50 Mpcs)



M87 in Virgo Cluster

Diagram credit: National Geographic

I. A.3 Inertial Frames

- Why an Inertial Frame?
  Make the calculations easy! Avoid Coriolis forces etc.

  No rotation

  No acceleration



Univ. Illinois WW2010 Project
http://ww2010.atmos.uiuc.edu/(Gh)/guides/mtr/fw/crls.rxml

- Quasi-inertial
  In real systems we have some unmodeled accelerations
  At present, VLBI doesn't yet model acceleration toward the Galactic center, but this is being studied
  e.g. Titov et al http://arxiv.org/pdf/1301.0364v1.pdf

- VLBI uses quasi-inertial frame with origin at the Solar System Barycenter (center of mass)

How Does VLBI Work? It's Simple ;-)



Cartoon credit: Rube Goldberg

Figure: www.vedicsciences.net/intelligent/rube-goldberg.jpg

How Does VLBI Work?

Combine signals from a Phased Array

Antennas are Mechanical Arrays

Single Large Dish is an "array" of panels aligned mechanically. Note side lobes.

Imagine removing inner panels, then beam pattern changes, sidelobes rise, but center lobe still has high resolution ~ wavelength / D



Figure credit: H. Gush

Mechanical → electrical alignment → VLBI

Two segments of antenna



"Fringes"

Two separate antennas with Electrical Connection



Same fringes as b).

Unconnected Antennas = VLBI

Time tag data and combine signals later at correlator



Same fringes as b).

Figure credit: H. Gush

VLBI Delay: $\tau = B \bullet s / c$

Very Long Baseline Interferometry is a type of station differenced range

- Measures geometric delay by cross-correlating signal from two (2) stations

$$\tau = B \bullet s / c$$



Outline

I. Concepts and Background:

A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties

B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame

ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.

C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.

1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arsecond accuracy.

II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS

B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)

C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

III. The Path to the Future:

A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement

B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame

C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame

D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy

I.B. Observing Networks

VLBA

S/X VCS catalog
K, Q catalogs

25-meter dishes

10 stations

Baselines up to
8000 km

No southern
stations



http://www.vlba.nrao.edu/

I.B. Observing Networks: EVN

EVN

S/X-band
K-band

Inhomogeneous
set of antennas

+ HartRAO
South Africa

http://www.evlbi.org/

I.B. Observing Networks: Global

Map credit: Tae-Hyun, Jung (MPIfR, 2004)
http://www3.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/tkrichbaum/Global-VLBI.html

I.B. Spacecraft Ka Deep Space Networks



Maps credit: Google maps

ESA's Argentina 35-meter antenna **adds 3 baselines** to DSN's 2 baselines

- Full sky coverage by accessing south polar cap
- near perpendicular mid-latitude baselines: CA to Aust./Argentina

I.C. History of Astrometry

130 B.C.	Hipparchus	Precession	50 asec/yr
Telescope era:			
1718 A.D.	Halley	proper motions	1 asec/yr
1729	Bradley	annual aberration	20 asec
1730	Bradley	18.6yr nutation	9 asec
1838	Bessell	parallax	~ asec
1930s	Jansky, Reber	Radio astronomy	
1960s	*several groups*	Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) invented	
1970s	"	VLBI	sub-asec
1980s	"	"	few 0.001 asec
1990s	"	"	< 0.001 asec
2000s	"	"	~0.0001 asec
2010s	Gaia	Optical astrometry	70 μas for Vmag=18 quasar
2010s	ICRF-3, ESA-DSN XKa		20-70 μas? 0.3 Jy quasar

Paradigm of "Sailing by the stars"



Photo Credit: Dimitry Bobroff, www.ludmillaalexander.com

Credit for sextent/octant: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sextant (GNU Free Doc license)

NASA Navigation System Accuracy



Credit: J.E. Patterson, J.S. Border, C.S. Jacobs

How Does VLBI Work?

Figure credit: math.yorku.ca/infinity_old/NewMembers/NewMembers.html

Point Source at Infinity as Reference Beacon

How does VLBI work?

- **Point source at infinity as a direction reference**
  Extragalactic "nebulae" idea from
  Laplace (1749-1827) and
  Wm. Herschel (1738-1822): *in 1785*
  realized that "nebulae" likely very distant
  `On the Construction of the Heavens,' Ph.Trans.Roy.Soc., 1785, p. 213 ff.
- **Advantage: sources don't move**



Credit: chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2008/cena/cena_multi.jpg

BUT at a distance of a *billion* light years . . .

- **The price to be paid is**

Very weak sources **1 Jy = 1.0E-26 watt/m**2/Hz**
need lots of square meters => 34 - 70m Antenna
lots of Hz bandwidth => 0.1 to 4 Gbps
low system temperature => Tsys = 20 - 40 Kelvin

Why observe in Radio? The ‘Window’



Credit: NASA; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_window

AGN Centaurus-A in X-ray, Optical, Radio



Credits: X-ray (NASA/CXC/M. Karovska et al.); Radio 21-cm image (NRAO/VLA/Schiminovich, et al.), Radio continuum image (NRAO/VLA/J.Condon et al.); Optical (Digitized Sky Survey U.K. Schmidt Image/STScI)

Active Galatic Nuclei (AGN) schematic



http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/objects/agn/agn_model.html

Credit: C.M. Urry and P. Padovani, 1995

Schematic of
Active Galactic Nuclei
Redshift z~ 0.1 to 5
Distance:
billions light years
Parallax = 0
Proper motion
< 0.1 nrad/yr

Centroid of radiation
Gets closer to central
engine (black hole)
As one goes to higher
frequencies, therefore,

Ka-band (32 GHz)
is better than
X-band (8.4 GHz)

Source Structure vs. Frequency



S-band
2.3 GHz
13.6cm

X-band
8.6 GHz
3.6cm

K-band
24 GHz
1.2cm

Q-band
43 GHz
0.7cm

Ka-band
32 GHz
0.9cm

The sources become better ------>

Image credit: P. Charlot et al, AJ, 139, 5, 2010

Active Galactic Nuclei (*Marscher*)



R~0.1-1 μas 1mas

Features of AGN: *Note the Logarithmic length scale.*
"Shock waves are frequency stratified, with highest synchrotron frequencies emitted only close to the shock front where electrons are energized. The part of the jet interior to the mm-wave core is opaque at cm wavelengths. At this point, it is not clear whether substantial emission occurs between the base of the jet and the mm-wave core."

Credit: Alan Marscher, `Relativistic Jets in Active Galactic Nuclei and their relationship to the Central Engine,' Proc. of Science,VI Microquasar Workshop: Microquasars & Beyond, Societa del Casino, Como, Italy, 18-22 Sep 2006. Overlay (not to scale): 3 mm radio image of the blazar 3C454.3 (Krichbaum et al. 1999)

Celestial Reference Frame: Long term stability

GPS is not sufficient for a long term inertial frame

Orientation: Relative to what?

One must define stable (ppb) reference directions

- GPS orbits are well modelled (ppb) over ~day time periods.

But . . .

- GPS constellation node drifts over weeks. . .



1.e18 increase in range

Solution: Change sources from range of GPS's nano-Light year to VLBI's Giga-Light Years ~eighteen (18) orders of magnitude!

Credit: www.international.gc.ca/arms-armes/assets/images/bourbonniere_img6.jpg

Celestial Pole & Alignment of Axes

- VLBI determines angles *between* sources

- Absolute positions only weakly determined at 10-100 mas level by tidal effects (RA, dec of Sun &Moon) and atmospheric effects (elevation)

- Orientation of axes is defined at sub-mas level by convention

- Enforced by No-Net-Rotation constraint:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} s \times \Delta s = 0$$

where s direction is source unit vector

cf. Jacobs et al, IVS, 2010.
http://ivscc.gsfc.nasa.gov/publications/gm2010/jacobs2.pdf

From German Wikipedia, by User Herbye.



Credit: www.4physics.com

Outline

I. Concepts and Background:

A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties

B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame

ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.

C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.

1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arsecond accuracy.

II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS

B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)

C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

III. The Path to the Future:

A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement

B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame

C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame

D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy

II.B. The Transition from Optical to Radio

- Optical to Radio transition era documented in

  Hans Walter & Ojars Sovers, Astrometry of Fundamental Catalogues: The Evolution from Optical to Radio Reference Frames, 2000

  http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000afce.conf.....W

- Fundamental Katalog FK5 (Fricke, 1988)

  http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1988VeARI..32....1F

  1535 stars limited by proper motions of stars

  ~150 mas regional differences from ICRF1 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1997IAUJD...7E..24M

- IAU called for a move to Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN)

  obtain very distant sources (redshift ~1, ~5 billion light years)

  No parallax, no proper motion

- IAU formed in 1990s a working group on International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF)

- ICRF-1 adopted by the IAU as on 1998 Jan 01.

  Ma et al, AJ, 116, 516, 1998 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998AJ....116..516M

II.A. Surveys: How are sources found? Positions?

1. Single dish surveys: A single radio telescope sweeps the sky to search for point-like sources. Example: Parkes-MIT-NRAO 4.8 GHz (Griffith & Wright, 1993) ~10 arcsec positions.
   http://www.parkes.atnf.csiro.au/observing/databases/pmn/pmnpubs.html 1993AJ....105.1666G

2. Connected element array surveys:
   - next step is interferometric connected arrays such as the Very Large Array or ATCA
   - Positions improved to 10s of milli-arcsec

   • North: Jodrell Bank VLA Survey (JVAS) (Patnaik et al, MNRAS, 1992)
   http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1992MNRAS.254..655P

http://www.vla.nrao.edu/

   • South: ATCA 20-GHz (AT20G), 5890 sources, Southern hemisphere (Murphy et al, MRAS, 2010)
   http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/AT20G http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.402.2403M

3. Final Survey stage: VLBI gets ~milli-arcsec positions e.g
   • North: VLBA Calibrator Survey (Beasley et al, ApJS, 2002)
   http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJS..141...13B

   • South: LBA Calibrator Survey, (Petrov et al, MNRAS, 2011)
   http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.2607 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011MNRAS.414.2528P

Southern Hemisphere



AT20G

http://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/public/

II.A. Surveys: milli-arcsec VLBI surveys





Figure credit: C.S. Jacobs

South:

LBA Cal Survey1:

~1 mas accuracy

view from south pole

http://arxiv.org/pdf/1012.2607v2.pdf

North:

VLBA Calibrator Survey

~2200 sources, ~1 mas

Hammer-Aitoff Projection

http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJS..141...13B

1st International Celestial Reference Frame

- ICRF-1 adopted by the IAU as on 1998 Jan 01.
  Ma et al, AJ, 116, 516, 1998 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998AJ....116..516M



212 "Defining" sources which define the orientation of the frame's axes.
Weak in the south.



"Candidate" sources (left)
Plus a few "other" sources
For a total of 608 sources.

Current Status of Celestial Reference Frames at radio wavelengths:

S/X ICRF2: 3.6cm, 8 GHz
K-band: 1.2cm, 24 GHz
X/Ka-band: 9mm, 32 GHz

ICRF-2 S/X 3.6cm: 3414 sources



40 μas floor. ~1200 obj. well observed, ~2000 survey session only

Credit: Ma et al, eds. Fey, Gordon, Jacobs, IERS Tech. Note 35, Germany, 2009

ICRF2 S/X 3.6cm: 295 Defining sources



295 "best" sources Define the orientation of the axes. Weak in the South

Credit: Ma et al, eds. Fey, Gordon, Jacobs, IERS Tech. Note 35, Germany, 2009

K-band 1.2cm: 278 Sources



VLBA all northern, poor below Dec. -30°. ΔDec vs. Dec tilt= 500 μas

Credit: Lanyi et al, AJ, 139, 5, 2010; Charlot et al, AJ, 139, 5, 2010

K-band 1.2cm *vs.* ICRF2 at 3.6cm (S/X)

Lack of direct
Dual-band ion
Calibrations
and
Lack of any
Station in south

Leads to poor
ΔDec vs. Dec
Zonal stability:
500 μas tilt



K(1.2cm) Declinations vs. S/X ICRF2 (current IAU standard)

Credit: K(1.2cm): Lanyi et al, AJ, 139,5, 2010
S/X ICRF2: Ma et al, editors: Fey, Gordon & Jacobs,IERS, Germany, 2009

X/Ka RA results: 482 Sources



Cal. to Madrid, Cal. to Australia. Weakens south of Dec = -15deg

Credit: Jacobs et al, ISSFD, Pasadena, 2012

X/Ka Dec results: 482 Sources



Cal. to Madrid, Cal. to Australia. Weakens southward. No ΔDec tilt

Credit: Jacobs et al, ISSFD, Pasadena, 2012

9mm (X/Ka) *vs.* ICRF2 at 3.6cm (S/X)

Dual-band ion Calibrations

and

Station in south

Leads to better ΔDec vs. Dec Zonal stability:

108 +- 90 μas tilt



X/Ka(9mm) Dec. vs. S/X ICRF2 (current IAU standard)

S/X ICRF2: Ma et al, editors: Fey, Gordon & Jacobs, IERS, Germany, 2009

Planetary Ephemeris to ICRF Frame Tie

- ΔVLBI measurements of spacecraft around a planet obtains position in the ICRF frame

- Doppler and range measures spacecraft in planet center Frame.



Credit: NASA, JPL/Caltech: www.jpl.nasa.gov





Folkner et al, IAU. 2012
200 μas (1. nrad) residuals

http://referencesystems.info/uploads/3/0/3/0/3030024/folkner.pdf
http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012IAUJD...7E..36F

Overview

I. Concepts and Background:

A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties

B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame

ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.

C. Brief history of Astrometry: The 'fixed' stars aren't so fixed.

1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax

2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI's pursuit of (sub)milli-arsecond accuracy.

II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS

B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)

C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

III. The Path to the Future:

A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement

B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame

C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame

D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy

Error Budget for Reference Frame VLBI

The Tall Tent Poles

Figure credit: S. Myneni ssrl.slac.stanford.edu/research/highlights_archive/organo-cl_compounds.html

ΔVLBI Error Budget



Credit: ΔVLBI budget from J.S. Border

Overview

I. Concepts and Background:

- A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame
  - ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arsecond accuracy.

II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

- A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS
- B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

III. The Path to the Future:

- **A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement**
- **B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame**
- **C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame**
- **D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy**

X/Ka RA results: 482 Sources



Cal. to Madrid, Cal. to Australia. Weakens south of Dec = -15deg

Credit: Jacobs et al, ISSFD, Pasadena, 2012

X/Ka Dec results: 482 Sources



Cal. to Madrid, Cal. to Australia. Weakens southward. No ΔDec tilt

Credit: Jacobs et al, ISSFD, Pasadena, 2012

Focus Work on the Tall Tent Poles

Systems Analysis shows dominant Errors are

- **Limited SNR/sensitivity**
  - already increasing bit rates: 112 to 448 Mbps. Soon to 2048?
- **Instrumentation:** already building better hardware
  - BWG phase calibrators, Digital baseband conversion & filters
- **Troposphere:** better calibrations being explored

- **Weak geometry in Southern hemisphere**
  - Limits accuracy to about 1 nrad (200 μas) level
  - Need observations below Declination of -45 Deg!
  - DSN at X/Ka has only Canberra, Australia (DSS 34)
  - Need 2nd site in the Southern hemisphere especially for upcoming southern ecliptic missions.

Attacking the Error budget

- **SNR can be improved +6 to 9 dB!**
- Instrumentation:
  Phase calibration with test signals
  Digital Baseband Conversion & Filtering
- Troposphere cals: WVR
- Southern Geometry

Results have been limited by SNR

<u>Solution:</u>

1) More bits:
4X operational
16X R&D
in ~6-12 months
Will yield +3-6 dB SNR increase

2) Ka pointing

Now with improved Pointing calibrations ~3 dB more SNR

Total vs. early passes +6-9 dB SNR increase!



Results have been SNR limited for SNR < 15 dB

Phased implementation, testing

- **Data rate: 43 passes @ 112 Mbps (X/Ka 56/ 56 Mbps)**

3 passes @ 224 Mbps (X/Ka 80/144) ~ 3X

24 recent @ 448 Mbps (X/Ka 160/288) ~ 5X

in 3-12 mo. @ 2048 Mbps (X/Ka 192/1856) ~32X

Total Ka improvement 56 to 1856 Mbps => 5-10 psec del. precision

Reduces SNR below troposphere with increased Ka sensitivity!
Thus SNR will longer be the tallest tent pole.

Credit: NASA: C. Jacobs, D. Bagri, E. Clark, C. Garcia-Miro, C. Goodhart, S. Horiuchi, S. Lowe, E. Moll, L. Skjerve, L White

Example: Ka-band Antenna Pointing

White pts. Represent Non-detection

Note Northern concentration of non-detects

Later, we got independent confirmation from ACME automated bore sight system of 18 mdeg errors



Credit: M. Vasquez, G. Baines, D. Rochblatt, C. Jacobs, C. Snedeker

Attacking the Error budget

- SNR can be improved +8 dB!
- **Instrumentation:**
  Phase calibration with test signals
  Digital Baseband Conversion & Filtering
- Troposphere cals: WVR
- Southern Geometry

Results limited by No BWG Phase cal



Problem:
180 psec ~diurnal effect

Solution:

Ka-band Phasecal Prototype Demo'd
--- >
Units being Built.
Operations in ~1 year

Credit: C. Jacobs, B. Tucker, L. Skjerve

BWG Phase Calibrator

- Concept: Tunnel diode
  Alan Rogers et al (Haystack)



Tunnel Diode Chip
0.055" diameter by
0.020" thick
Mounted on
0.119" diameter carrier
for solid grounding

- JPL prototype BWG phase cal:
  Hammel, Tucker, & Calhoun,
  JPL Progress Report, 2003

http://tmo.jpl.nasa.gov/progress_report/42-154/154H.pdf

http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003IPNPR.154....1H

- Production units: Blake Tucker

Beam Wave Guide phase calibrator



Direct interface to K connector
inside coaxial structure.

Credit: Blake Tucker



Pulse driver mounted as close as possible
and fed through coaxial structure
to minimize rise time and ringing

Sample, Baseband convert, Filter, Record

IF select switch:
12 inputs allows
multiple bands,
multiple antennas

Mark-5C recorder



Command & Control



Sampler: 1280 MHz, 8-bit/sample



Copper to fiber, **Digital filter**, Format

Design: Navarro et al. Photo credit: Les White

Summary of Instrumental Improvements

Instrument		MkIV	DBE/Mk5-C	Comment
Filters		Analog 7-pole Butterworth	Digital FIR phase linear	removes phase ripple in channel
Spanned bandwidth		360 MHz		Mk4 limit
			500 MHz	1.4X improvement
Data rate	@ start	112 Mbps		DSN SNR limited
	@ max.	896 Mbps		trop/inst. limited
	@ start		2048 Mbps	trop/inst. limited
	@ max.		4096 Mbps	6X sensitivity
Phase Cal:	HEF/70m	Yes	Yes	
	BWG	No	Yes	removes 100s of psec

Attacking the Error budget

- **SNR can be improved +8 dB!**
- Instrumentation:

  Phase calibration with test signals

  Digital Baseband Conversion & Filtering

- **Troposphere cals: WVR**
- Southern Geometry

Troposphere Solution 1: Better Estimation

- Modified Least Squares to account for observation correlations -- both temporal and *spatial*
- Use Kolmogorov frozen flow model of Treuhaft & Lanyi (Radio Sci. 1987)

  http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1941DoSSR..32...16K
  http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1987RaSc...22..251T

- Model increases information available to the estimation process
  1) Reduces parameter biases
  2) Reduces parameter sigmas
- Validation: Currently improves agreement X/Ka vs. S/X catalogs by about 10% in Declinations.
  Expect ~30% after SNR & phase cal errors peeled away to reveal troposphere errors.

  Romero-Wolf & Jacobs, IVS, 2012 http://www.oan.es/gm2012/pdf/oral_id_119.pdf



Calibrating Troposphere Turbulence

- JPL Advanced Water Vapor Radiometer
  - ~ 1 deg beam better matches VLBI
  - improved gain stability
  - improved conversion of brightness temperature to path delay

  Tanner & Riley, Radio Sci., 38, 2003
  http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003RaSc...38.8050T

- Initial demos show 1mm accuracy Goldstone-Madrid 8000 km baseline using X/Ka phase delays
  - *Jacobs et al, AAS Winter 2005.*
  - *Bar Sever et al , IEEE, 2007.*

  http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007IEEEP..95.2180B
- A-WVRs deployed at Goldstone/Madrid Seeking funding for Tidbinbilla, Aus
- A-WVR not used yet for Operations



Attacking the Error budget

- SNR can be improved +8 dB!
- Instrumentation:

  Phase calibration with test signals

  Digital Baseband Conversion & Filtering
- Troposphere cals: WVR

- **Southern Geometry**



www.spacetoday.org/images/SolSys/DeepSpaceNetwork/NASA_DSN_WorldMap.gif

Need 2nd Station in South

- Almost no Ka sources meet the accuracy goal south of equator!

DSN X/Ka Frame after 50 sessions



- No coverage of South polar cap (-45 to -90 Dec)

- DSN weakly covers southern Ecliptic: only one strong baseline as California-Spain is weak in south

Declination 1-sigma

Orange	0-0.5 nrad	meets future ΔDOR spec
Red	0.5-1.0	current ΔDOR spec
Green	1.0-1.5	
Blue	1.5-2.5	
Purple	2.5-5.0	
White	5.0	

Southern Stations?

- ESA Deep Space Antennas (DSA-1, 2, 3)
  - Cebreros, Spain: duplicate geometry to DSN in Robledo
  - New Norcia/Perth, Australia (helps but only 3000km from DSN Tidbinbilla)
  - **Malargue, Argentina:** Ideal, online fall-2012, NASA-ESA collaboration
    - 35m, X/Ka-band, 9,500 km baseline
    - Dry desert site is good for Ka-band
    - HA-Dec coverage: Tidbinbilla to Malargue:



- Hart, South Africa
  - diameter 26m
    - Resurfaced in 2005 (0.5mm RMS) efficient to 22 GHz

ESA Deep Space Antenna
35m, Ka-band capable

Credit: www.esa.int/esaMI/Operations/SEMGSDSMTWE_0.html

DSS 34 to Malargue, Argentina (DSA-3)





Simulated Coverage:
Dec +10 deg to –90 deg



Simulation of Added Southern Station



Before Southern Data *After*

Declination Sigma
Orange: < 100 μas
Red: < 200
Green: < 300
Blue: < 500
Purple: < 1000
White: > 1000

- 50 real X/Ka sessions augmented by simulated data
  simulate 1000 group delays, SNR = 50
  ~9000 km baseline: Australia to S. America or S. Africa

- Completes Declination coverage: cap region -45 to -90 deg
  200 μas (1 nrad) precision in south polar cap,
  mid south 200-1000 μas, all with just a few days observing.

Bourda, Charlot, Jacobs, 2011 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011EAS....45..377B

Malargüe: The Next X/Ka VLBI Station

X/Ka: ESA Deep Space Antenna DSA 03

- **Malargüe, Argentina**
- Fall-2012 NASA/ESA collaboration
- 35-m, X/Ka-band, 9,500 km baseline
  Argentina-Australia covers south polar cap
  Full sky coverage for X/Ka!!
- Argentina-California & Australia-California
  orthogonal baselines for mid-latitudes
- High (1.5km), dry desert site: good for Ka-band
- HA-Dec coverage: Tidbinbilla to Malargüe:



Malargüe, Argentina 35-meter as of 26 Sept .2012

ESA Deep Space Antenna
X/Ka-band capable



X/Ka stations for Celestial Frame



Maps credit: Google maps

ESA's Argentina 35-meter antenna **adds 3 baselines** to DSN's 2 baselines

- Full sky coverage by accessing south polar cap
- near perpendicular mid-latitude baselines: CA to Aust./Argentina

NASA-ESA 32GHz RA results: 577 sources



Goldstone, CA to Madrid & Australia **+ Malargüe to Australia**.

95 in south cap (dec<-45); 19 ICRF2 Defining; 2/3 of cap non-ICRF2

Credit: Jacobs et al, EVGA, 2013 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013evga.confE...1J

NASA-ESA 32GHz Dec results: 577 sources



Goldstone, CA to Madrid & Australia **+ Malargüe to Australia**.

Credit: Jacobs et al, EVGA 2013

Outline

I. Concepts and Background:

- A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame
  - ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arsecond accuracy.

II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

- A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS
- B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), XKa (32 GHz)

III. The Path to the Future:

- A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement
- B. Next-generation geodetic VLBI: Ultra-wide 2-14 GHz
- B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame
- **C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame**
- D. Gaia: the return of optical

III.C. ICRF-3

3rd generation International Celestial Reference Frame

Assessment of needs for ICRF-3

1. VLBA Cal Survey is most (2/3) of ICRF-2
   but positions are 5X worse than rest of ICRF-2
2. ICRF-2 is weak in the south
3. High frequency frames
   Fewer sources, weak in the south



ICRF-1 users: Distribution of ~400 citations
Credit: R. Heinkelmann, ICRF-3 work group

Goals:

1. Complete ICRF-3 by 2018
   in time for comparisons with Gaia optical frame
2. Competitive accuracy with Gaia ~ 70 μas (1-sigma RA, Dec)
3. Uniform precision for all sources. Implies improving VCS positions.
4. High frequency frames (K, XKa, Q?)
   Improve number, accuracy, and southern coverage
5. Maximize high quality optical-radio tie sources

ICRF-2 reference: Ma et al, IERS, 2009. http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ITN....35....1M

III.C. ICRF-3 Needs

Assessment of needs for ICRF-3

1. Uneven precision of current ICRF-2 VCS's 2200 sources (2/3 of the ICRF-2)

   VCS precision is typically 1000 μas or 5 times worse than the rest of ICRF2.



ICRF-2	Item	VCS	non-VCS	factor
	N_src	2197	1217	VCS 1.8X better
	median sessions	1	13	VCS 13X worse
	median observations	45	249	VCS 5.5X worse
	median time span	0	13 years	VCS arbitrarily worse
	median RA sigma	621	130 μas	VCS 4.8X worse
	median Dec sigma	1136	194 μas	VCS 5.9X worse

III.C. ICRF-3 Needs

Assessment of needs for ICRF-3



2. Southern Hemisphere:

   VLBI in general and ICRF-2 specifically lacks southern observations

III.C. ICRF-3 Needs



3. High frequency frames a K (24 GHz), XKa (32 GHz), and Q (43 GHz) lacking in the south

   K-band: HartRAO to Tidbinbilla?

   XKa: Malargüe, Argentina to Tidbinbilla, Australia

Outline

I. Concepts and Background:

A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties

B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame

ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.

C. Brief history of Astrometry: The 'fixed' stars aren't so fixed.

1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax

2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI's pursuit of (sub)milli-arsecond accuracy.

II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS

B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)

C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), XKa (32 GHz)

III. The Path to the Future:

A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement

B. Next-generation geodetic VLBI: Ultra-wide 2-14 GHz

B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame

C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame

D. Gaia: the return of optical

Gaia-Optical *vs*. VLBI-radio:

Celestial Frame tie
and
Accuracy Verification

Gaia frame tie and accuracy verification

<u>Gaia: 10^9 stars</u>

- 500,000 quasars V< 20
  20,000 quasars V< 18
- radio loud 30-300+ mJy

  and

  optically bright: V<18
  ~2000 quasars
- Accuracy
  70 μas @ V=18
  25 μas @ V=16

Launch in Fall 2013



Figure credit: http://www.esa.int/esaSC/120377_index_1_m.html#subhead7

References:

Lindegren et al, IAU 248, 2008
http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008IAUS..248..217L

Mignard, IAU, JD-7, 2012
http://referencesystems.info/uploads/3/0/3/0/3030024/fmignard_iau_jd7_s3.pdf
http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012IAUJD...7E..27M

Optical vs. Radio positions

Positions differences from:

• Astrophysics of emission centroids

- radio: synchrotron from jet

- optical: synchrotron from jet?
  non-thermal ionization from corona?
  big blue bump from accretion disk?

• Instrumental errors both radio & optical

• Analysis errors



Credit: Wehrle et al, μas Science, Socorro, 2009
http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009astro2010S.310W

9mm vs. 3.6cm? Core shift & structure



Credit: A. Marscher, Proc. Sci., Italy, 2006.
Overlay image: Krichbaum, et al, IRAM, 1999.
Montage: Wehrle et al, ASTRO-2010, no. 310.

Positions differences from 'core shift'

- wavelength dependent shift in radio centroid.
- *3.6cm to 9mm core shift:*

  100 μas in phase delay centroid?

  <<100 μas in group delay centroid? (*Porcas, AA, 505, 1, 2009*)
- shorter wavelength closer to Black hole and Optical: 9mm X/Ka better

Source Structure vs. Wavelength









S-band	X-band	K-band	Q-band
2.3 GHz	8.6 GHz	24 GHz	43 GHz
13.6cm	3.6cm	1.2cm	0.7cm

The sources become better -----> Ka-band
32 GHz
0.9cm

Image credit: P. Charlot et al, AJ, 139, 5, 2010

Optical brightness of X/Ka sources



Figure credit: C.S. Jacobs et al, EVGA, 2013

Median optical magnitude V_{med} = 18.6 magnitude *(~110 obj. no data)*

> 146 of 577 objects optically bright by Gaia standard (V<18)

Credit for optical magnitudes: Véron-Cetty & Véron, 2010 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A%26A...518A..10V

Gaia Optical vs. X/Ka frame tie

- Simulated Gaia measurement errors (sigma RA, Dec)
  median sigmas ~ 100 μas per component

- VLBI XKa radio sigmas ~200 μas per component and improving

- Covariance calculation of 3-D rotational tie
  using current 9mm radio sigmas and simulated Gaia sigmas
  Rx +- 14 μas <- Weak. Needs south polar VLBI (Dec < -45)
  Ry +- 11 μas
  Rz +- 10 μas

- Now limited by radio sigmas for which 2-3X improvement possible.
  Potential for rotation sigmas ~5 μas per frame tie component

Jacobs et al, ISSFD, 2012. http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012sfd..confE...1J

Conclusions

I. **Concepts and Background:**

A. Desire nonrotating, non-accelerating frame. Use a quasi-inertial with some accelerations

B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame

ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.

C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.

1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of sub-milli-arsecond accuracy.

II. **Celestial Frames built using VLBI**

A. Surveys: Single dish,

connected arrays: Jodrell-VLA (JVAS, north), ATCA 20 GHz (AT20G, south),

VLBI ~mas: VLBA Cal Survey (north), LBA Cal Survey (south)

B. ICRF-1 (1998): The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to 212 Defining quasars.

ICRF-2 (2009) : 295 defining sources, 3414 total, 40 μas systematic floor

C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

III. **The Path to the Future:**

A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement

B. Case study: Improved X/Ka Frame: SNR, Instrumentation, Troposphere, ***Geometry***

C. ICRF-3 goals: 2018, improve south, improve VCS, improve K & X/Ka

D. Gaia: 2021 the return of optical, 500,000 quasars, ~billion total sources

Y yo, minimo ser,
ebrio del gran vacío constelado,
a semejanza, a imagen del misterio,
me sentí parte pura del abismo,
rodé con las estrellas,
mi corazón se desató en el viento.
- Pablo Neruda

And I, infinitesimal being,
inebriated on the great starry void,
likeness, image of mystery,
I felt myself a pure part of the abyss,
I rode with the stars,
my heart broke free onto the open sky.

NGC 2207 & IC 2163:, Credit: NASA and The Hubble Heritage Team (STScI/AURA)
Acknowledgment: D.M. Elmegreen (Vassar College) and B.G. Elmegreen (IBM Research Division)

Thank You for your Attention



Estrellas, que rodean, señas,
Ojos, mis ojos captan la luz,
suave palpitar de mi corazon,
llevado en alto por la brisa
vuelo de mi alma,
libre, nacida de nuevo
bajo un cielo maravilloso.
-C.S. Jacobs : ©2013
(inspirado en un verso de Abraham Kron)