


Atmospheric propagation

Johannes Böhm

2nd IVS Training School on VLBI for Geodesy and Astrometry

Hartebeesthoek Radio Observatory, South Africa March 11, 2016

Atmospheric opacity




Outline

- Part I. Ionospheric effects on microwave signals⁽¹⁾
- Part II. Path delays in the neutral atmosphere⁽²⁾

following

- (1) Alizadeh, M., et al. (2013) Ionospheric effects on microwave signals
- (2) Nilsson, T., et al. (2013) Path delays in the neutral atmosphere


both in Böhm, J., and Schuh, H. (eds.)
Atmospheric Effects in Space Geodesy,
Springer, 2013



I. Ionospheric effects on microwave signals

Ionosphere

 Chapman electron density profile and the ionospheric layers D, E, F1 and F2



Alizadeh et al. 2013

Outline

- Group and phase velocity
- Ionosphere refractive index
- Ionospheric delay
- How to deal with ionospheric delays in geodetic VLBI

1 Group and phase velocity

- Dispersive medium (Ionosphere):
 - Propagation velocity of an electromagnetic wave is dependent on its frequency
 - Phase velocities v_{ph} and group velocities v_{gr} are different

$$v_{ph} = \lambda f$$
 $v_{gr} = v_{ph} - \lambda \frac{dv_{ph}}{d\lambda}$

- Non-dispersive medium (Neutral atmosphere):
 - Phase and group velocities are the same and are equal or lower than the speed of light c

2 Ionosphere refractive index

Phase and group refractive index

$$v_{ph} = \frac{c}{n_{ph}}$$
 >=c

not in contradiction with Theory of Relativity

$$v_{gr} = \frac{c}{n_{gr}}$$
 <= c

$$n_{gr} = n_{ph} - \lambda \frac{dn_{ph}}{d\lambda}$$

2 Ionosphere refractive index

Appleton-Hartree formula for phase refractive index

$$n_{ph}^{2} = 1 - \frac{X}{1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}Y^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}{1 - X} \pm \frac{1}{1 - X} \left(\frac{1}{4}Y^{4}\sin^{4}\theta + Y^{2}\cos^{2}\theta(1 - X)^{2}\right)^{1/2}},$$
 (16)

where

$$X = \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2}$$
, $Y = \frac{\omega_H}{\omega}$, $\omega_H = 2\pi f_H = \frac{B_0 r}{m_e}$,

n complex refractive index

 $\omega = 2\pi f$ (radial frequency) f wave frequency

 ω_0 electron plasma frequency ω_H electron gyro frequency

 ε_0 permittivity of free space

 θ angle between the ambient magnetic e electron charge field vector and the wave vector

v electron collision frequency

 B_0 magnitude of the magnetic field vector \mathbf{B}_0

 m_e electron mass

2 Ionosphere refractive index

- Higher order terms may be neglected (Hawarey et al. 2005)
- Phase refractive index

$$n_{ph}^{ion} = 1 - C_2 \frac{N_e}{f^2} = 1 - 40.31 \frac{N_e}{f^2}$$

Group refractive index

$$n_{gr}^{ion} = 1 + C_2 \frac{N_e}{f^2} = 1 + 40.31 \frac{N_e}{f^2}$$

3 Ionospheric delay

Group delay or phase advance of signals

$$\Delta \rho^{ion} = \int n ds - \int ds_0$$

First order approximation

$$\Delta \rho_{ph}^{ion1} = -\frac{C_2}{f^2} \int N_e \, ds_0$$

Phase advance and group delay

$$\Delta \rho_{ph}^{ion1} = -\frac{40.31}{f^2} \int N_e \, ds_0 \qquad \Delta \rho_{gr}^{ion1} = \frac{40.31}{f^2} \int N_e \, ds_0$$


3 Ionospheric delay

- Integrated electron density: Total Electron Content
 - TEC: Total amount of free electrons in a cylinder with a cross section of 1 m²
- 1 TECU = 10^{16} electrons per m^2

$$\Delta \rho_{gr}^{ion} = \frac{40.31}{f^2} STEC \quad [m]$$

- Ionospheric delays in VLBI due to 1 TECU
 - 7.6 cm at S-Band (2.3 GHz)
 - 0.6 cm at X-Band (8.4 GHz)

Typical channel distribution of a geodetic VLBI experiment



- Group delay is determined as the slope of the fringe phases across the band
- VLBI group delays are not assigned to a reference frequency that is actually observed (unlike GNSS)
- "Effective frequency" is used to calculate the delays in the usual way

Effective frequency

$$f_{gr} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_{i} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_{i} (f_{i} - f_{0})^{2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_{i} (f_{i} - f_{0})\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_{i} (f_{i} - f_{0}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\rho_{i}}{f_{i}} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_{i} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_{i} \frac{f_{i} - f_{0}}{f_{i}}}}$$

- f₀ reference sky frequency
- f_i channel frequency
- $-\rho_i$ correlation amplitude at channel i

Ionospheric delay per baseline observation per band

$$au_{gr} = au_{if} + rac{lpha}{f_{gr}^2}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{40.31}{c} \left(\int N_e ds_1 - \int N_e ds_2 \right) = \frac{40.31}{c} \left(STEC_1 - STEC_2 \right)$$

- $-\tau_{gr}$ observed group delays
- τ_{if} ionosphere free group delays

Elimination with ionosphere free linear combination

$$au_{gx} = au_{if} + rac{lpha}{f_{gx}^2} \qquad au_{gs} = au_{if} + rac{lpha}{f_{gs}^2} \ au_{if} = rac{f_{gx}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} au_{gx} - rac{f_{gs}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} au_{gs}$$

Ionospheric contribution in X-Band

$$\tau_{igx} = \frac{\alpha}{f_{gx}^2} = -\frac{f_{gs}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} (\tau_{gx} - \tau_{gs})$$

- Ambiguity resolution and ionosphere delays
 - have to be calculated together in an iterative approach

- Instrumental biases
 - Observations contain extra delay term caused by instrumental effects

$$au'_{gx} = au_{if} + rac{lpha}{f_{gx}^2} + au_{inst,x} \qquad au'_{gs} = au_{if} + rac{lpha}{f_{gs}^2} + au_{inst,s}$$

$$\tau'_{if} = \frac{f_{gx}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} \tau'_{gx} - \frac{f_{gs}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} \tau'_{gs}$$


$$= \tau_{if} + \underbrace{\frac{f_{gx}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} \tau_{inst,x} - \frac{f_{gs}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} \tau_{inst,s}}_{\hat{\tau}}$$

- Instrumental effects absorbed in clock estimates
- Ionosphere delays contain instrumental effects


$$\tau'_{igx} = -\frac{f_{gs}^{2}}{f_{gx}^{2} - f_{gs}^{2}} (\tau'_{gx} - \tau'_{gs})$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{f_{gx}^{2}} + \underbrace{\frac{f_{gs}^{2}}{f_{gx}^{2} - f_{gs}^{2}} (\tau_{inst,s} - \tau_{inst,x})}_{\tau_{inst}}$$

- VLBI is only sensitive to differences in ionospheric conditions, however it is possible to derive TEC values
 - Hobiger et al. (2006)



 VGOS: Separation of dispersive and non-dispersive delays during fringe detection



Source effects are frequency-dependent

II. Path delays in the neutral atmosphere

Outline

- Basics
- Definition of the path delay in the neutral atmosphere
- Modelling delays in the neutral atmosphere
- Atmospheric turbulence
- Application of space geodetic techniques for atmospheric studies

1 Introduction

- Neutral atmosphere vs. troposphere
 - We need to consider layers of the atmosphere up to about 100 km (stratosphere)
 - "Tropospheric delays"
- There is no frequency-dependency for VLBI observations in the neutral atmosphere (unlike the ionosphere)

2 Basics

- In general, the propagation of electromagnetic waves is described by Maxwell's equations
- Refractive index n versus refractivity N

$$N = (n-1) \cdot 10^6$$

- n ≈ 1.0003; N ≈ 300
- N is complex number

$$N = N_0 + N'(\mathbf{v}) - iN''(\mathbf{v})$$

-v is frequency

2 Basics


- Imaginary part causes absorption (used for WVR)
 - of [no] importance for delays
- Real part causes refraction and propagation delay

$$N = \sum_{i} \left(A_{i}(\mathbf{v}) \rho_{i} + B_{i}(\mathbf{v}) \frac{\rho_{i}}{T} \right)$$

- Debye (1929)
- B_i term for permanent dipole moment of molecules (water vapour)

2.1 Microwaves

 p = 1013 hPa, T = 300 K, rh = 100%, different concentrations of liquid water (e.g., fog or clouds)



2.1 Microwaves

Dry and wet refractivity


$$N = k_1 \frac{p_d}{T} Z_d^{-1} + k_2 \frac{p_w}{T} Z_w^{-1} + k_3 \frac{p_w}{T^2} Z_w^{-1}$$

Hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic ("wet")

$$N = k_1 \frac{R}{M_d} \rho + k_2' \frac{p_w}{T} Z_w^{-1} + k_3 \frac{p_w}{T^2} Z_w^{-1} = N_h + N_w$$

2.1 Microwaves

Radiosonde profiles Vienna




- In VLBI, the difference in travel time to a quasar from two telescopes is measured
- Propagation speed of the signal is lower than speed of light in vacuum
- Phase and group delays are equal in the neutral atmosphere
- If variation in refractivity over the distance of one wavelength is negligible, we can describe the propagation as a ray and apply geometrical optics

Electric path length L along the path S

$$L = \int_{S} n(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Principle of Fermat: L is minimized




• The atmospheric delay ΔL is defined as the excess electric path length

$$\Delta L = L - G = \int_{S} n(s) ds - G =$$

$$= 10^{-6} \int_{S} N(s) ds + S - G$$

$$= \Delta L_{h} + \Delta L_{w} + S - G$$



Nilsson et al. 2013

- Bending effect S—G considered in hydrostatic mapping function (about 2 dm at 5 degrees)
- Zenith hydrostatic and wet delay

$$\Delta L_h^z = 10^{-6} \int_{h_0}^{\infty} N_h(z) \,dz$$
$$\Delta L_w^z = 10^{-6} \int_{h_0}^{\infty} N_w(z) \,dz$$

3.1 Hydrostatic delay

Hydrostatic equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}z} = -\rho(z)g(z)$$

- The pressure tells us how much mass is above the site but not its vertical distribution
 - That is enough information about the zenith hydrostatic delay, if we have a rough estimate of the height of the atmospheric centre of mass above the site

3.1 Hydrostatic delay

 Zenith hydrostatic delay with equation by Saastamoinen (1972) as refined by Davis et al. (1985)

$$\Delta L_h^z = 10^{-6} k_1 \frac{R \, p_0}{M_d \, g_{eff}}$$

$$\Delta L_h^z = 0.0022768 \frac{p_0}{f(\theta, h_0)}$$


- Thus, we need the pressure at the site
- 1000 hPa \rightarrow 2.227 m zenith hydrostatic delay

• Empirical models for the pressure like Berg (1948) or Hopfield (1969)


pressure	local recordings	grid values	GPT
availability	at sites	all (by interpolation)	all
time span	per observation	since 1994	unlimited
spatial resolution	per site	$2.0 \times 2.5^{\circ}$	spherical harmonics (9/9)
time resolution	per observation	6 hours	annual

- Local recordings recommended, but be careful with breaks
- GPT2 (gridded data set)

Pressure values at O'Higgins (Antarctica)




- 3 hPa \rightarrow 1 mm height
- Height standard deviation between GPT and ECMWF



- Be aware of destructive effects between atmospheric loading and empirical pressure values for the determination of zenith hydrostatic delays
 - When you apply empirical pressure values like those from GPT or GPT2 for the determination of the a priori zenith hydrostatic delay, you already do a bit of atmosphere loading correction

true pressure: 1020 hPa

mean pressure (GPT): 1000 hPa



3.2 Wet delay

Varies between 0 cm (e.g., poles) and 40 cm

$$\Delta L_w^z = 10^{-6} \left[\int_{h_0}^{\infty} (k_2' \frac{p_w}{T} Z_w^{-1}) dz + \int_{h_0}^{\infty} (k_3 \frac{p_w}{T^2} Z_w^{-1}) dz \right]$$

$$\Delta L_w^z$$
 [cm] $\approx p_{w0}$ [hPa]

3.2 Wet delay

- Zenith wet delays must be estimated in VLBI analysis
- For example, as piecewise linear offsets with constraints (quasi observation equations)


$$\Delta L_w(t) = mf_w(t) \cdot x_1 + mf_w(t) \cdot \frac{t - t_1}{t_2 - t_1} \cdot (x_2 - x_1)$$

$$\frac{d\Delta L_w}{dx_1} = mf_w(t) - mf_w(t) \cdot \frac{t - t_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

$$\frac{d\Delta L_w}{dx_2} = mf_w(t) \cdot \frac{t - t_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

$$\frac{d\Delta L_w}{dx_2} = mf_w(t) \cdot \frac{t - t_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

$$\frac{d\Delta L_w}{dx_2} = mf_w(t) \cdot \frac{t - t_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$



3.2 Wet delay

 Conversion of zenith wet delay to Integrated Water Vapour (IWV) and Precipitable Water (PW)

$$IWV = \Pi \Delta L_w^z$$

$$PW = \frac{IWV}{\rho_{w,fl}}$$

$$\Pi = \frac{10^6 M_w}{\left[k_2' + \frac{k_3}{T_m}\right] R}$$


$$PW = \kappa \Delta L_w^z$$

4 Modelling delays in the neutral atmosphere

- Ray-tracing
- Mapping functions and gradients
- Water vapour radiometry


4.1 Ray-tracing

 To find the ray-path from the source to the telescope (has to be done iteratively, "shooting")



4.1 Ray-tracing


 Total delays at 5° outgoing elevation angle at Tsukuba on 12 August 2008 (2D not always shorter!)



4.1 Ray-tracing

- Many groups/persons working on ray-tracing
 - Goddard, Vienna, T. Hobiger, ...

Eriksson et al. (2014) find improvement for ray-traced delays




Slant delay = zenith delay times mapping function

$$\Delta L(e) = \Delta L^z \cdot mf(e)$$

- Mapping functions to map down a priori zenith delays and to estimate residual delays
- Estimation every 20 to 60 min; this allows a leastsquares adjustment

 Different elevation dependencies for zenith delays (mf), clocks (1), and station heights (sin e)




- Mapping function not perfectly known
- Errors via correlations also in station heights (and clocks)
- Low elevations necessary to de-correlate heights, clocks, and zenith delays
- Trade-off → about 7 degrees cut off elevation angle (sometimes with down-weighting)

- Mapping function too large → zenith delay too small
 → station height goes up
- Rule of thumb: "Station height error is about one fifth of the delay error at 5 degrees"

Exercise

$$D_L(e) = D_z \cdot m(e)$$

 $D_L(e) = D_z' \cdot m(e)'$




Hydrostatic and wet mapping functions

$$\Delta L(e) = \Delta L_h^z \cdot mf_h(e) + \Delta L_w^z \cdot mf_w(e)$$

• Example:

- Zenith hydrostatic and wet delays shall be 2000 mm and 200 mm, respectively;
- Hydrostatic mapping function at 5° too large by 0.01 (10.16 instead of 10.15);
- Slant delay at 5° too large by 20 mm
- Station height too large by 4 mm (one fifth)

- Wet mapping function larger than hydrostatic mf
- Mapping functions are a measure for the thickness of the atmosphere (1/sin e means flat)



55


 Modern mapping functions use continued fractions form as specified by Herring (1992)


$$mf(e) = \frac{1 + \frac{a}{1 + \frac{b}{1 + c}}}{\sin(e) + \frac{a}{\sin(e) + \frac{b}{\sin(e) + c}}}$$

- Saastamoinen (1972), Chao (1974), CfA2.2 (Davis et al., 1985), MTT (Herring, 1992), ...
- New Mapping Functions (Niell, 1996)
- Isobaric Mapping Functions (Niell, 2001)
- Vienna Mapping Functions 1 (Böhm et al., 2006)
- Global Mapping Functions (Böhm et al., 2006)
- Global Pressure and Temperature 2 (Lagler et al., 2013)

- Vienna Mapping Functions 1
 - empirical functions for b and c coefficients
 - coefficients a by ray-tracing at initial elevation angle 3.3°
 - "1D ray-trace"
 - available for all VLBI sites (resolution 0.25°) and on global grid


```
http://ggosatm.hg.tuwien.ac.at/
```






analytical functions

Hydrostatic VMF 1 versus GMF at 5° at Fortaleza



- Modelling azimuthal asymmetries
 - to account for higher atmosphere above the equator
 - systematic effects, e.g. at coasts
 - local weather phenomena
- Gradients typically estimated every 6 hours
- Order of magnitude
 - 1 mm gradient \rightarrow 100 mm delay at 5° elevation

"Linear horizontal gradients" of refractivity



Nilsson et al. 2013

MacMillan (1995)


$$\Delta L(a,e) = \Delta L_0(e) + mf_h(e)\cot(e)(G_n\cos(a) + G_e\sin(a))$$

Chen and Herring (1997)

$$\Delta L(a,e) = \Delta L_0(e) + m f_g(e) (G_n \cos(a) + G_e \sin(a))$$


$$mf_g(e) = \frac{1}{\sin(e)\tan(e) + C}$$
 e.g., C = 0.0032

Tilting of the mapping function




- In the early years of VLBI (before 1990) gradient estimates need to be constrained because of poor observation geometry
- If possible, estimates should be constrained to a priori values (different from zero, accounting for the atmospheric bulge above the equator and local effects)

 Source declination differences between estimating and not estimating gradients



- Goddard provides static gradients
- Vienna provides 6 hourly gradients from the ECMWF

 Weighted (with height) refractivity gradients toward east at Fortaleza



4.4 Water vapour radiometry


 WVR measure the thermal radiation from the sky at microwave frequencies where the atmospheric attenuation due to water vapour is relatively high

- Random fluctuations in refractivity distribution
- Structure function as modified by Treuhaft and Lanyi (1997)

$$D_n(\mathbf{R}) = \left\langle [n(\mathbf{r}) - n(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R})]^2 \right\rangle = C_n^2 \frac{\|\mathbf{R}\|^{2/3}}{1 + \left\lceil \frac{\|\mathbf{R}\|}{L} \right\rceil^{2/3}}$$

- C_n² is the refractive index structure constant
- L is the saturation length scale

Spatial structure function for the zenith wet delay




Nilsson et al. 2013

Frozen flow theory

 Turbulence simulator (Nilsson et al., 2007) very useful for (VGOS) simulations



Simulations



- fast 12m telescopes
- twin telescopes


Troposphere is limiting factor!



[Petrachenko et al., 2009]

Application for atmospheric studies

Zenith wet delays at Wettzell (Nilsson, 2011)



THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION